| Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|---|--------|
| | 10/735,366 | DOUGLAS ET AL. | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Sarah L. Kuhns | 1761 | |
| All Participants: | Status of Application | n: | |
| (1) <u>Sarah L. Kuhns</u> . | (3) | | |
| (2) | (4) | | |
| Date of Interview: 21 April 2005 | Time: <u><i>AM</i></u> | | |
| Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applic Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description: | ant's representative) | , · | |
| Part I. | | · | |
| Rejection(s) discussed: | | | |
| Claims discussed: Prior art documents discussed: | | | • |
| Part II. | | | |
| SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENE Contacted Joy Ann Serauska to determine if response to office let the application go abandoned. | | | ded to |
| Part III. | | | |
| It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summa | ne examiner will provide record of the substance | a written summary of the subs of the interview, since the inte | stance |
| | | | |
| | - | | |
| | | • | |
| (Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicar | nt/Applicant's Representa | tive Signature – if appropriate) |) |